A comprehensive & objective rating of the Elected Representatives' performance

MUMBAI
REPORT CARD
MLA RATINGS 2019

PRAJA.ORG
MAKING DEMOCRACY WORK

The Constitution as a Basis for monitoring of Elected Representatives

➢ ERs derive their powers for functioning through the Constitution.

➢ They are mandated to Attend Sessions, Raise people's Issues, Debate, Participate in Discussions and Pass legislations.

➢ The Constitution defines their powers and rules of functioning, hence only the Constitution can provide the parameters for their monitoring.

HOW TO READ THE RANKING PAGE:

Overall Rank for the current year (2019) is given after summation of all the weightages. The top three ranks are awarded a trophy - The Torch. The first gets gold, the second silver and the third bronze.

Areas for ranking:
1. Attendance
2. Questions Asked
3. Quality of Questions
4. Criminal Record (including the negative marking for criminal records)
5. Perceived Performance (Perception of Public Services)
6. Perceived as accessible
7. Perceived Least Corrupt

Colour Coding:
1-10
11-22
23-32

QUALITY OF QUESTIONS
#27

NO. OF QUESTIONS
#15

PERCEIVED LEAST CORRUPT
#14

RANK #3

ATTENDENCE
#3

PERCEIVED ACCESSIBILITY
#3

CLEAN CRIMINAL RECORD
#1

Score: 72.05%

PERCEIVED PERFORMER
#5

Personal details

Badges for high ranks in individual areas

MS/MR POPULAR
PERCIEVED PERFORMER
+
PERCIEVED AS ACCESSIBLE

MS/MR COMMITTED
QUALITY OF QUESTIONS
+
NO. OF QUESTIONS

MS/MR CLEAN
CLEAN CRIMINAL RECORD
+
PERCIEVED LEAST CORRUPT

Total Scores

Parameters for Rating MLAs (1/4)

Parameter	Marks
Present[1] (Attendance, No. & Quality of Questions, Usage of Area Development Fund)	52
Past [2] (Education qualification, PAN Card, Criminal cases)	8
Perception (through an citizen survey of 22,845 people for their perception on their MLA's accessibility/availability, performance, corruption, and satisfaction with quality of life, services provided by government)	40
Negative for new FIR cases after 2014 election [3]	Minus 5
Negative for pending Charge Sheet [3]	Minus 5
Total	**100**

RTI Data Source: [1] Vidhan Bhavan and City & Suburban Collector Offices; [2] Election Commission of India's Website; [3] Mumbai Police.

The Better MLA Performs on Constitutional Mandates, the Better is the Quality of Life

Last Term (2011 to 2014) – 12th MLA Assembly: Sessions into account from Winter 2009 to Monsoon 2014
Current Term (2014 to 2019) – 13th MLA Assembly: Sessions into account from Winter 2014 to Winter 2018

Comparison of Top 6 & Bottom 6 MLA's in terms of Overall Average Score of 13th Assembly

Year	Difference
2016	25%
2017	28%
2018	31%
2019	31%

The average overall score is showing an increasing trend because of the consistent performance of Top 6 MLAs.

What would be The Quality of Life in Mumbai City if All MLAs Perform as Top 6 ?

Year	Difference
2016	27%
2017	21%
2018	34%
2019	49%

Top 6 — Overall — Bottom 6

In 2019, 92% of respondents in the constituencies of top 6 MLAs said that, their quality of life has improved while, only 44% respondents of bottom 6 MLAs expressed improvement in their quality of life.

Term Comparison of Average Number of Questions Asked by MLAs

| Party	12th MLA Assembly (Winter 2009 to Monsoon 2014)			13th MLA Assembly (Winter 2014 to Winter 2018)		
	No. of MLAs	Total Questions	Avg. No. of Questions (Per MLA)	No. of MLAs	Total Questions	Avg. No. of Questions (Per MLA)
AIMIM	-	-	-	1	168	168
BJP	5	6,119	1,224	12	5,655	471
INC	14	7,218	516	5	9,713	1,943
MNS	6	14,444	2,407	-	-	-
NCP	2	622	311	-	-	-
SP	1	667	667	1	574	574
SS	4	9,548	2,387	13	6,235	480
Total	32	38,618	1,207	32	22,345	698

🟪 Ruling Party 🟦 Opposition Party

The total number of questions raised in the assembly has **dropped by 42%** in this term (13th Assembly) as compared to last term (12th assembly).

Average Score for Different Parameters

	Attendance (out of 10)	Question Asked (out of 16)	Quality of Questions (out of 21)	Perceived Performer (out of 20)	Perceived Accessibility (out of 6)	Perceived Least Corrupt (out of 10)	Clean Criminal Record (out of 5)	Overall Score (out of 100)
2014	8.6	7.9	11.9	12.9	2.0	6.2	-0.6	59.2
2016	9.7	8.0	12.4	14.5	2.6	7.2	1.3	65.1
2017	9.2	8.0	8.0	13.8	2.7	6.9	1.4	60.5
2018	8.1	8.0	8.1	12.8	3.2	8.1	0.8	59.3
2019	8.6	8.0	8.0	13.6	3.6	8.6	2.6	63.7

No. of questions asked	2014: 10,435	2016: 4,343	2017: 6,199	2018: 4,519	2019: 7,284

Note: Scores for the corresponding year (2014) in the last term have been given for comparison with the current year (2019).

Party-wise Average Score

Party wise Ranking in 2019		
Political Party	**Average Score**	**Average Rank**
AIMIM (1)	52.05	25
BJP (12)	64.96	16
INC (5)	74.54	7
SP (1)	57.19	23
SS (13)	59.70	20

13

Mumbai MLAs Rank & Score (in %) in 2019*

Party	MLAs Name	2019	
		Score	Rank
SS	Sunil Govind Shinde	79.38	1
INC	Amin Amir Ali Patel	79.29	2
INC	Aslam Ramazan Ali Shaikh	78.29	3
SS	Sunil Waman Prabhu	77.75	4
BJP	Manisha Ashok Chaudhary	75.62	5
BJP	Atul Dattatray Bhatkhalkar	74.42	6
INC	Md. Arif (Naseem) Lalan Khan	74.18	7
INC	Varsha Eknath Gaikwad	73.70	8
BJP	Yogesh Amritlal Sagar	73.02	9
BJP	Parag Madhusudan Alavani	72.04	10
SS	Ajay Vinayak Choudhari	70.73	11
BJP	Ameet Bhaskar Satam	70.37	12
SS	Mangesh Anant Kudalkar	70.19	13
INC	Kalidas Nilkanth Kolambkar	67.26	14
BJP	Sardar Tara Singh	67.12	15
BJP	Ashish Babaji Shelar	67.08	16
SS	Trupti Prakash Sawant	65.30	17
SS	Prakash Vaikunt Phaterpekar	64.55	18
BJP	Mangal Prabhat Lodha	64.24	19
BJP	Bharati Hemant Lavekar	61.23	20
SS	Sunil Rajaram Raut	59.57	21
BJP	Raj Khangaraji Purohit	58.86	22
SP	Abu Asim Azmi	57.19	23
BJP	Selvan R. Tamil	53.81	24
SS	Prakash Rajaram Surve	52.96	25
AIMIM	Waris Yusuf Pathan	52.05	26
SS	Tukaram Ramkrishna Kate	51.66	27
SS	Sanjay Govind Potnis	50.76	28
SS	Ramesh Kondiram Latke	47.46	29
SS	Sadanand Shankar Sarvankar	45.65	30
BJP	Ramchandra Shivaji Kadam	41.69	31
SS	Ashok Dharmaraj Patil	40.08	32

Note: (*) Sessions taken into account for this table - Winter 2017 to Winter 2018)

14

Cumulative Ranking (in%) of Mumbai MLAs for 13ᵗʰ Assembly*

PRAJA.ORG
MAKING DEMOCRACY WORK

Party	MLA Name	Average Score	Rank
INC	Amin Amir Ali Patel	79.58	1
SS	Sunil Waman Prabhu	76.87	2
INC	Aslam Ramazan Ali Shaikh	75.12	3
SS	Sunil Govind Shinde	74.24	4
INC	Varsha Eknath Gaikwad	72.84	5
BJP	Atul Dattatray Bhatkhalkar	72.47	6
BJP	Yogesh Amritlal Sagar	72.12	7
INC	Md. Arif (Naseem) Lalan Khan	70.90	8
BJP	Manisha Ashok Chaudhary	70.15	9
INC	Kalidas Nilkanth Kolambkar	69.41	10
BJP	Ashish Babaji Shelar	67.30	11
BJP	Sardar Tara Singh	66.59	12
SS	Ajay Vinayak Choudhari	64.81	13
BJP	Ameet Bhaskar Satam	64.53	14
BJP	Mangal Prabhat Lodha	62.69	15
SS	Trupti Prakash Sawant	62.68	16
SS	Prakash Vaikunt Phaterpekar	62.42	17
BJP	Parag Madhusudan Alavani	60.59	18
BJP	Raj Khangaraji Purohit	59.02	19
SS	Mangesh Anant Kudalkar	58.68	20
BJP	Bharati Hemant Lavekar	58.19	21
SP	Abu Asim Azmi	56.77	22
SS	Sunil Rajaram Raut	56.46	23
SS	Prakash Rajaram Surve	55.30	24
AIMIM	Waris Yusuf Pathan	53.90	25
SS	Sadanand Shankar Sarvankar	52.20	26
SS	Tukaram Ramkrishna Kate	52.11	27
SS	Sanjay Govind Potnis	51.26	28
BJP	Selvan R. Tamil	49.74	29
SS	Ramesh Kondiram Latke	49.55	30
SS	Ashok Dharmaraj Patil	48.48	31
BJP	Ramchandra Shivaji Kadam	41.64	32

Note: (*) Sessions taken into account for this table - Winter 2014 to Winter 2018)

15

THANK YOU!!!